EPCF Breakfast, 24 May 2016

The European Ceramic Industry Association



How to make EU ETS work for small emitters?

Alain Delcourt Cerame-Unie President Managing Director, Agrob Buchtal GmbH

European ceramic industry – key facts

Ban-European perspective

222 200,000 Direct jobs Source of employment

150 years Average lifespan of a brick house Durable products

Up to 30% Production costs related to energy Sensitive to energy prices

€28bn Production value Motor for growth

Sume trade balance Export champion



European ceramic industry in EU ETS

- **1,200** ceramic installations in **25** Member States in EU ETS in phase 3 (10% of all installations in ETS) emit in total ca. **1%** of all industrial emission per year!
- Around **80%** of ceramic installations emit <25 k tons CO₂e/year
- Around **95%** of ceramic installations emit <50 k tons CO₂e/year
- Currently all ceramic sectors are deemed exposed to the carbon leakage risk



Small ceramic emitters in EU ETS

- Currently ceramic installations in national opt out schemes (in accordance with the EU ETS Directive Art. 27):
 - ✓ 180 brick installations in UK, Spain, Italy, Slovenia (1) and Croatia (1)
 - ✓ 35 wall and floor tile installations in Spain and Italy

Only in 5 Member States there is an active use of equivalent measures!
Exclusion possible only, if there are national measures in place to achieve equivalent contribution to emission reductions;

Opting out of the ETS is <u>voluntary</u> for small emitter installations;

Advantages for small emitter in "opt out"	Disadvantages for small emitter in "opt out"
Less administrative burden for companies No costs of EUTL account/registry fees Simplified monitoring, reporting, verification Avoided high penalties for non-compliance (over 100 EUR/t CO ₂ e)	No possibility of allowance trading (small emitter is not always SME! May wish to stay "in")

Administrative burden for many operators could be reduced without undermining environmental effectiveness & climate objectives!

Small emitters simplifications - a prerequisite



72% of all ETS installations emit less than 50 000 tons $CO_2e/year$ and in total it covers less than **5%** of all emissions (in 2014).

Distribution of installations in terms of amount of emissions. Source: EC Impact Assessment of the proposal for the EU ETS directive review; p. 135.

- Extension of small emitter schemes (from 25 to 50 k tons CO₂e/year):
 - does not hamper the overall emission reduction target of the ETS
 - allows significant reduction of the admin burdens for SMEs
 - enables general simplification of the scheme
- It shall be ensured that all Member States make the national equivalent measures available for small emitters!

Small emitters, few emissions...high energy intensity

- Clay construction products: bricks, roof tiles, clay pipes and wall and floor tiles are the 2nd most energy-intensive sector
- On average 30 % of production costs related to energy costs
- Fuel: 80% natural gas
- Kilns operate at ca. 800°-1000°C



• High EU energy prices in comparison to competing countries



Comparison of natural gas prices of two EU-based tile plants and one of comparable capacity in US (EUR/MWh), Source: European Commission Energy prices and costs report (SWD(2014) 20 final/2)

Ceramic sectors' exposure to carbon leakage



- Ceramic industry is highly exposed to global trade
- Trade intensity of ceramic sectors has increased by 1,5 on average in the last few years (to av. 33% in 2014)
 - ✓ This durable internationalization trend is contributing to increased carbon leakage exposure of ceramic sectors
- Trends must be taken into account, in particular for the "borderline" sectors in CL qualitative assessment
 - ✓ Bricks & roof tiles sectors' trade intensity has doubled since 2007 (from 2,5% in 2007 to 5% in 2014)!

Ceramic sectors' exposure to carbon leakage

Investment leakage on the EU borders in bricks & roof tiles sector



(*)Plants for which only a part of the facility has been upgraded: kiln, cutting storing or glazing robots etc...

Source: EUTL Database, Press, PwC Analysis

Challenges to asses CL exposure for ceramics

- Emission intensity calculations based on the GVA:
 - Underestimate labour-intensive sectors (GVA includes labour costs),
 - Underestimate SME-driven sectors,
 - Underestimate sectors composed of manufacturing plants with diverse activities and heterogeneous products (many companies counted in the GVA – more than 4.000 companies in ceramic sectors covered by ETS - but few of them in scope of ETS – 1.200 installations);
- Qualitative carbon leakage assessment is necessary with no threshold:
 - quantitative assessment does not reflect the specific characteristics of some sectors and is only backward-looking, not including either investment or trade trends (for example for: bricks, roof tiles and clay pipes sector);
- Carbon leakage assessment needed at «appropriate level of disaggregation»
 - Expanded clay sector deemed at carbon leakage risk with PRODCOM assessment!

How to make EU ETS work for ceramics?

- **1.** Maintain level playing field for all energy- & labour-intensive sectors:
 - No differentiation between the sectors on the carbon leakage list (tiered approach to free allocation – potential market distortion and penalization of "early movers"!)

2. Carbon leakage assessment accommodating heterogeneous sectors:

- Qualitative assessment without quantitative threshold
- Assessments at a relevant disaggregation level (also 6- & 8-digit)

3. Small emitters simplification - a prerequisite!

- Opt-out possibility <u>extended to installations with annual emissions up to</u> <u>50,000 tCO₂e</u> (instead of 25,000 tCO₂e)
- All Member States <u>shall</u> implement national equivalent measures for small emitters!



Thank you for your attention!

